

S/N: 10/758,563
Reply to Office Action of June 21, 2005

Atty Dkt No. LEAR 0660 R

Remarks BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Applicants gratefully acknowledge the Interview granted on January 4, 2006.

Claims 1-44, 46-56 and 58-69 are pending in the application. Claims 1-44, 46-56 and 58-68 stand allowed, and claim 69 stands rejected. By this paper, Applicants propose to amend claim 69 as discussed with the Examiner during the Interview.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claim 69 was rejected under 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,176,536 to Miller et al. As discussed with the Examiner, claim 69 has been amended to recite that "the module includes two securing members that are selectively engageable with the rails" and that "the securing members extend away from the storage area." As agreed during the Interview, Miller et al. does not disclose the combination of features recited in amended claim 69.

Conclusion

As discussed during the Interview, Applicants understand that the Amendment will be entered and that the Examiner will advise Applicants prior to February 4, 2006 whether

S/N: 10/758,563
Reply to Office Action of June 21, 2005


Atty Dkt No. LEAR 0660 R

or not the application will be allowed. If any additional issues need to be resolved, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at his earliest convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

Adam J. Canni et al.

By


Mark E. Stuenkel

Reg. No. 44,364

Attorney/Agent for Applicant

Date: January 4, 2006

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.
1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor
Southfield, MI 48075-1238
Phone: 248-358-4400
Fax: 248-358-3351

 **BEST AVAILABLE COPY**